**Annexure C**

**Confidential Reporting form C**

**EXECUTIVE SUMMARY OF THE EVALUATION**

**(Submitted to SACS for each TI evaluated with a copy to DAC)**

**Profile of the evaluator(s):**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Name of the evaluators** | **Contact Details with phone no.** |
| **Mathivanan Ramachandran** | [**ira.mathivanan@gmail.com**](mailto:ira.mathivanan@gmail.com)**, 09840231989** |
| **Shaik Imthiaz Ahmmed** | [**reehana.ahmed@gmail.com**](mailto:reehana.ahmed@gmail.com)**, 09248350197** |
| **Officials from SACS/TSU (as facilitator)** |  |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Name of the NGO:** | **Gunavantha Siksha Sansthan** |
| **Typology of the target population:** | **Core – FSW** |
| **Total population being covered against target:** | **1148 active against 1200 contracted** |
| **Dates of Visit:** | **17, 18 & 19 April 2016** |
| **Place of Visit:** | **Amravati Urban** |

Overall Rating based programme delivery score:

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Total Score Obtained (in %)** | **Category** | **Rating** | **Recommendations** |
| **Below 40%** | **D** | **Poor** | **Recommended for** |
| **41%-60%** | **C** | **Average** | **Recommended for** |
| **61%-80%** | **B** | **Good** | **Recommended for** |
| ***>80%*** | ***A*** | ***Very Good*** | ***Recommended for continuation with specific focus for developing learning sites.*** |

**Specific Recommendations:**

|  |
| --- |
| **This organisation has scored more about 90% at programme delivery and more in finance and qualified in capacity building and it could be recommended for developing learning sites for the following reasons**   * **The achievement percentage in RMC, ICTC, clinic visit and counselled are between 97% and 100% and this may be really possible at the current set up of TI.** * **Condom distribution is high as 114% distributed while condom supply was not there for four months from SACS.** * **Documentation matches at all levels.** * **Review meetings need to discuss the gaps and prioritising the components to be achieved, suggestions to staffs and action taken points** * **Though they have community support and government support at large level, Community participation needs to be strengthened in programme planning and implementation and documented.** * **Specific recommendation to NACO: *THIS MAY BE THE RIGHT TIME TO MODIFY THE TOOL*** |

**Name of the Evaluators Signature**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Mathivanan Ramachandran** |  |
| **Shaik Imthiaz Ahmmed** |  |
| **Pravin Mhasal-Finance** |  |